







# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |  | Notes          |   |
|--|--|----------------|---|
| Tetra Tech   |  |                |   |
|  | Max Points   | Points Awarded |   |
| Project Approach   | 25   | 21             | Generic approach  |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15   | 12             | Recent experience, good qualifications, not a broad range of services |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15   | 14             | Good leadership qualifications  |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15   | 13             | Good personnel qualifications   |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15   | 13             | 3 references, all coastal experience, recent date range               |
| Price  | 10   | 4              | Placed 3rd out of 4   |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5  | 3              | Good presentation, generic in nature, a bit more than detailed        |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>   | <b>80</b>      |   |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Jeanne Devlin</b>  |                |   |
| <b>Date</b>  | 8/14/2024  |                |   |

# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |  | Notes          |   |
|--|--|----------------|---|
| Debris Tech  |  |                |   |
|  | Max Points   | Points Awarded |   |
| Project Approach   | 25   | 23             | Well designed approach for the County                               |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15   | 13             | Extensive experience, nationwide experience, wide range of services |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15   | 12             | Well qualified leadership   |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15   | 13             | Good personnel qualifications                                       |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15   | 15             | 5 references, good date range, all FL                               |
| Price  | 10   | 7              | Placed 2nd out of 4   |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5  | 5              | Clear and concise presentation, excellent descriptive graphics.     |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>   | <b>88</b>      |   |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Jeanne Devlin</b>  |                |   |
| <b>Date</b>  | 8/14/2024  |                |   |

# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |                      | Notes          |   |
|--|----------------------|----------------|---|
| True North   |                      |                |   |
|  | Max Points           | Points Awarded |   |
| Project Approach   | 25                   | 14             | Generic approach  |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15                   | 9              | Recent experience, good qualifications, not a broad range of services |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15                   | 11             | Good leadership qualifications  |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15                   | 10             | Good personnel qualifications   |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15                   | 5              |   |
| Price  | 10                   | 9              | Placed 1st out of 4   |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5                    | 3              | Good presentation, generic in nature, a bit more than detailed        |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>           | <b>61</b>      |   |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Jeanne Devlin</b> |                |   |

8/14/2024

## Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name     |                | Insight   |  | Notes |
|------------------|----------------|---|--|-------|
| Max Points       | Points Awarded |   |  |       |
| 25               | 8              | Does not appear to have a clear understanding of the complexity of the scope. |  |       |
| 15               | 7              | Only recent experience  |  |       |
| 15               | 9              | Leadership lists qualifications but on resume not so much the company.        |  |       |
| 15               | 8              | Experience seems to be more with personnel resumes                            |  |       |
| 15               | 5              | 3 references, none in coastal states, good date range                         |  |       |
| 10               | 1              | Placed 4th of 4   |  |       |
| 5                | 2              | Tabs would have helped  |  |       |
| <b>Total</b>     | <b>40</b>      |   |  |       |
| <b>Evaluator</b> |                | <b>Jeanne Devlin</b>  |  |       |
| <b>Date</b>      |                | <b>8/14/2024</b>  |  |       |




# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation


| Company Name   |                                  | Notes          |  |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Tetra Tech   |                                  |                |  |
|  | Max Points                       | Points Awarded |  |
| Project Approach   | 25                               | 25             | Extremely detailed   |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15                               | 15             | Lots of experience with hurricanes and more, past work in FL |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15                               | 15             | Good communication, hires locally, fast response             |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15                               | 15             | Great qualifications and experience                          |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15                               | 15             | Very positive references                                     |
| Price  | 10                               | 8              | #3   |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5                                | 5              |  |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>                       | <b>98</b>      |  |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Amanda Anthony</b> <i>CAH</i> |                |  |
| <b>Date</b>  | 8/15/2024                        |                |  |



# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |   |                | Notes  |
|--|---|----------------|--|
| Debris Tech  |   |                |  |
|  | Max Points  | Points Awarded |  |
| Project Approach   | 25  | 20             | Talked more about tech than true plan. Would have liked more details.      |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15  | 14             | Lots of experience most recent years were tornado, most in florida         |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15  | 15             | Lots of experience, and useful tech  |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15  | 13             | Varring levels of experience and certs                                     |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15  | 12             | Positive references, two from 2018 and a lot can change in that time frame |
| Price  | 10  | 9              | #2   |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5   | 5              |  |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>  | <b>88</b>      |  |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Amanda Anthony</b>  |                |  |
| <b>Date</b>  | <b>8/15/2024</b>  |                |  |

# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |   | Notes          |   |
|--|---|----------------|---|
| True North   |   |                |   |
|  | Max Points  | Points Awarded |   |
| Project Approach   | 25  | 23             | Detailed  |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15  | 15             | Lots of experience, decent amount with hurricanes |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15  | 13             | Good qualifications                               |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15  | 15             | Lots of experience and certs                      |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15  | 7              | Old References and none from Florida              |
| Price  | 10  | 10             | #1  |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5   | 5              |   |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>  | <b>88</b>      |   |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Amanda Anthony</b>  |                |   |

Date  
8/15/2024


# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |                       | Notes          |  |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| Insight  |                       |                |  |
|  | Max Points            | Points Awarded |  |
| Project Approach   | 25                    | 15             | Approach seemed lacking concept of needs to area |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15                    | 5              | Less experienced                                 |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15                    | 10             | Would have liked more info here                  |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15                    | 13             | Personnel seem to have good qualifications       |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15                    | 5              | Non Southern US References                       |
| Price  | 10                    | 5              | #4 Way High                                      |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5                     | 3              | Tabs would be nice                               |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>            | <b>56</b>      |  |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Amanda Anthony</b> |                |  |
| <b>Date</b>  | <b>8/15/2024</b>      |                |  |




# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation


| Company Name   |                    | Notes          |  |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--|
| Tetra Tech   |                    |                |  |
|  | Max Points         | Points Awarded |  |
| Project Approach   | 25                 | 22             | Standard/uses incident command structure |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15                 | 13             | Extensive                                |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15                 | 12             |  |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15                 | 13             |  |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15                 | 10             | 3 References, All Florida                |
| Price  | 10                 | 7              |  |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5                  | 4              | Very detailed                            |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>         | <b>81</b>      |  |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Fonda Davis</b> |                |  |
| <b>Date</b>  | 8/14/2024          |                |  |



# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |  | Notes          |  |
|--|--|----------------|--|
| Debris Tech  |  |                |  |
|  | Max Points   | Points Awarded |  |
| Project Approach   | 25   | 23             | Uses mobile command units/drones, cameras                              |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15   | 12             | Nationwide experience  |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15   | 10             | Regional managers in FL  |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15   | 13             | Good Florida experience  |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15   | 15             | 5 Ref, All Florida, Good Date Range Includes 3 letters of commendation |
| Price  | 10   | 10             | Best rate of the 4 reviewed  |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5  | 5              | Very organized   |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>   | <b>88</b>      |  |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Fonda Davis</b>  |                |  |
| <b>Date</b>  | 8/14/2024  |                |  |

# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |  | Notes          |  |
|--|--|----------------|--|
| True North   |  |                |  |
|  | Max Points   | Points Awarded |  |
| Project Approach   | 25   | 22             |  |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15   | 11             |  |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15   | 13             |  |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15   | 10             |  |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15   | 5              | Three client references were from 2021 - not recent., None from Florida, None Comperable |
| Price  | 10   | 4              | Typical weekly rate \$34,055   |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5  | 3              |  |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>   | <b>68</b>      |  |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Fonda Davis</b>  |                |  |
| <b>Date</b>  | 8/14/2024  |                |  |

# Evaluation Criteria Tabulation

| Company Name   |                    | Insight        |   | Notes |
|--|--------------------|----------------|---|-------|
|  | Max Points         | Points Awarded |   |       |
| Project Approach   | 25                 | 10             | Not a very good grasp of the project scope. |       |
| Experience on Similar Projects                             | 15                 | 7              | Light on experience                         |       |
| Company/Firm/Key Principal Qualifications and Capabilities | 15                 | 10             |   |       |
| Qualifications and Abilities of Professional Personnel     | 15                 | 11             |   |       |
| Client References for Similar Projects                     | 15                 | 5              | 3 References, All Northern US not Florida   |       |
| Price  | 10                 | 1              | Highest weekly rate                         |       |
| Quality of Submittal Package                               | 5                  | 3              | Good quality submission Not Tabbed          |       |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>100</b>         | <b>47</b>      |   |       |
| <b>Evaluator</b>   | <b>Fonda Davis</b> |                |   |       |
| <b>Date</b>  | <b>8/14/2024</b>   |                |   |       |

*Fonda Davis*



|    | A                          | B      | C          | D           | E          | F           |
|----|----------------------------|--------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1  | DEBRIS MONITOR HOURLY 2024 |        |            |             |            |             |
| 2  | Description                | Unit   | Tetra Tech | Debris Tech | True North | Insight     |
| 3  | Project Manager            | Hourly | \$ 70.00   | \$ 65.00    | \$ 75.00   | \$ 205.00   |
| 4  | Data Manager               | Hourly | \$ 55.00   | \$ 55.00    | \$ 55.00   | \$ 100.00   |
| 5  | Cost Recovery Specialist   | Hourly | \$ 95.00   | \$ 55.00    | \$ 49.00   | \$ 150.00   |
| 6  | Field Supervisors          | Hourly | \$ 45.00   | \$ 50.00    | \$ 49.00   | \$ 160.00   |
| 7  | Fixed Site Monitors        | Hourly | \$ 34.00   | \$ 40.00    | \$ 34.00   | \$ 65.00    |
| 8  | Environmental Specialists  | Hourly | \$ 60.00   | \$ 85.00    | \$ 49.00   | \$ 140.00   |
| 9  | GIS Specialists            | Hourly | \$ 50.00   | \$ 55.00    | \$ 49.00   | \$ 85.00    |
| 10 | Supervising Monitors       | Hourly | \$ 50.00   | \$ 50.00    |            | \$ 90.00    |
| 11 | Billing/Invoice Analysts   | Hourly | \$ 50.00   | \$ 30.00    | \$ 35.00   | \$ 115.00   |
| 12 | Administrative Assistants  | Hourly | \$ 32.00   | \$ 30.00    | \$ 20.00   | \$ 75.00    |
| 13 | Field Monitors             | Hourly | \$ 34.00   | \$ 40.00    | \$ 38.00   | \$ 60.00    |
| 14 |                            |        |            |             |            |             |
| 15 | <b>TOTAL</b>               |        |            |             |            |             |
| 15 |                            | \$     | 575.00     | \$ 555.00   | \$ 453.00  | \$ 1,245.00 |
| 16 | <b>AVERAGE (TOTAL/11)</b>  |        |            |             |            |             |
| 16 |                            | \$     | 52.27      | \$ 50.45    | \$ 45.30   | \$ 113.18   |
| 17 | <b>MEDIAN</b>              |        |            |             |            |             |
| 17 |                            | \$     | 63.50      | \$ 57.50    | \$ 47.50   | \$ 132.50   |
| 18 | <b>HIGH RANGE</b>          |        |            |             |            |             |
| 18 |                            | \$     | 45.00      | \$ 35.00    | \$ 26.00   | \$ 105.00   |
| 19 | <b>LOW RANGE</b>           |        |            |             |            |             |
| 19 |                            | \$     | 18.00      | \$ 20.00    | \$ 29.00   | \$ 40.00    |
| 20 | <b>RANGE FACTOR</b>        |        |            |             |            |             |
| 20 |                            | \$     | 42.17      | \$ 37.50    | \$ 34.17   | \$ 92.50    |
| 21 | <b>COMPANY</b>             |        |            |             |            |             |
| 21 |                            |        | Tetra Tech | Debris Tech | True North | Insight     |
| 22 |                            |        |            |             |            |             |